

THE TOPOLOGY OF ORDERED ABELIAN GROUPS

BY

L. W. COHEN AND CASPER GOFFMAN

Introduction. We consider an ordered abelian group $G = [x]$ in which the identity θ is not isolated: if $x, y > \theta$ in G then $x + y > \theta$; there is an $x \neq \theta$; and if $x > \theta$ there is a y such that $\theta < y < x$. It is found that for each G there is a unique ordinal $\xi^* = \xi^*(G)$ associated with the topology of G (§1).

We study extensions of G which are complete in three different senses. A group is called topologically complete if every fundamental ξ^* -sequence has a limit. It is called complete in the archimedean sense if it has no proper archimedean extension. It is called order complete if every set bounded above has a least upper bound. These three types will be designated by t -, a -, o -completeness. We show that every ordered abelian group G has a t -complete extension G_D whose elements are the Dedekindian (§2) lower segments of G in the sense of Baer [1]⁽¹⁾. It is pointed out that the o -complete extension G consisting of all lower segments of a non-archimedean group G fails to be a group because the non-Dedekindian lower segments do not have inverses (§4). The extension G_D is isomorphic with the group G_C of classes of equivalent fundamental ξ^* -sequences in G (§2). This illuminates the relation between the completion methods of Dedekind and Cantor. It is shown that a -completeness implies t -completeness but that only a partial converse holds (§3).

The Hahn groups H furnish examples of the theory (§5). It is shown that these groups are t -complete and satisfy axioms [1] under which it follows they are of the second $\xi^*(H)$ -category.

1. Topology and the ordinal $\xi^*(G)$. Given an ordinal λ^* and a single-valued function x_λ defined on the ordinals $\lambda < \lambda^*$ with values in a group G , we call x_λ a λ^* -sequence. A λ^* -sequence is called decreasing if $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda^*$ implies $x_{\lambda_1} > x_{\lambda_2}$ in G . A λ^* -sequence x_λ is said to λ^* -converge to θ if for each $x > \theta$ there is a $\lambda_x < \lambda^*$ such that $\lambda_x \leq \lambda < \lambda^*$ implies $|x_\lambda| < x$ where $|y| = \max [y, -y]$. We write $\lambda^*\text{-lim } x_\lambda = x$ if $x_\lambda - x$ λ^* -converges to θ .

THEOREM 1. *The set Λ of ordinals λ^* for which there are decreasing λ^* -sequences x_λ such that $\lambda^*\text{-lim } x_\lambda = \theta$ is not empty.*

Proof. The nonempty set $P = [x | x > \theta]$ can be well-ordered as a μ^* -sequence x_μ . Let $x_{\mu_1} = x_1$ and suppose that, for $\nu^* < \mu^*$, x_{μ_ν} has been defined so that, if $\nu < \nu^*$, μ_ν is the first μ for which $\tau < \nu$ implies $x_{\mu_\tau} < x_{\mu_\nu}$. Then x_{μ_ν} decreases on $\nu < \nu^*$. Now either $\nu^*\text{-lim } x_{\mu_\nu} = \theta$ or there is an $x \in P$ such that $\nu < \nu^*$ implies $x \leq x_{\mu_\nu}$. Since θ is not isolated, there is a first $\mu < \mu^*$ such that $\theta < x_\mu < x$. Since

Presented to the Society, October 30, 1948; received by the editors September 20, 1948.

⁽¹⁾ Numbers in brackets refer to bibliography at the end of the paper.

$x_\mu < x_{\mu_\nu}$ for $\nu < \nu^*$ it follows that $\mu > \mu_\nu$ for $\nu < \nu^*$. We define $\mu_{\nu^*} = \mu$. By transfinite induction it follows that there is a λ^* -sequence x_{μ_ν} , $\nu < \lambda^*$, such that $\lambda^*\text{-lim } x_{\mu_\nu} = \theta$. Hence $\lambda^* \in \Lambda$.

The nonempty set $\Lambda = [\lambda^*]$ has a first element which we denote by $\xi^* = \xi^*(G)$. There is a decreasing ξ^* -sequence a_ξ in G such that $\xi^*\text{-lim } a_\xi = \theta$ by Theorem 1. We shall make extensive use of the sequence a_ξ in the sequel.

THEOREM 2. *The ordinal $\xi^*(G)$ is a limit number such that if $\eta^* < \xi^*$ and ξ_η is a single-valued function on $\eta < \eta^*$ to $\xi < \xi^*$, then $\sup [\xi_\eta | \eta < \eta^*] < \xi^{*(2)}$.*

Proof. $\xi^* = \xi^*(G)$ is a limit number. Otherwise $\xi^* = \eta + 1$ and $\theta < a_\eta \leq a_\xi$ for $\xi < \xi^*$ which is contrary to $\xi^*\text{-lim } a_\xi = \theta$. Now suppose there are $\zeta^* < \xi^*$ and a function ξ_ζ on $\zeta < \zeta^*$ to $\xi < \xi^*$ such that $\sup [\xi_\zeta | \zeta < \zeta^*] = \xi^*$. It may be assumed without loss of generality that $\zeta_1 < \zeta_2 < \zeta^*$ implies $\xi_{\zeta_1} \leq \xi_{\zeta_2}$. We show that $\zeta^*\text{-lim } a_{\xi_\zeta} = \theta$. If $x > \theta$ in G there is $\eta < \xi^*$ such that $\theta < a_\eta < x$. There is $\zeta(\eta) < \zeta^*$ such that $\eta < \xi_{\zeta(\eta)} < \xi^*$. Since a_ξ decreases on $\xi < \xi^*$, $\theta < a_{\xi_\zeta} < a_{\xi_{\zeta(\eta)}} < a_\eta < x$ if $\zeta(\eta) < \zeta < \xi^*$ and so $\zeta^*\text{-lim } a_{\xi_\zeta} = \theta$. Hence $\zeta^* \in \Lambda$ and so $\xi^* \leq \zeta^*$ since $\xi^* = \xi^*(G)$ is the first element of Λ . This contradicts the assumption $\zeta^* < \xi^*$.

A neighborhood system $\mathcal{U} = [U_\xi(x)]$ for G is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_\xi &= U_\xi(\theta) = [x | |x| < a_\xi], & \xi < \xi^*, \\ U_\xi(x) &= x + U_\xi = [x + y | y \in U_\xi]. \end{aligned}$$

We shall refer to ξ^* -sequences hereafter simply as sequences. Before stating the basic properties of the neighborhood system \mathcal{U} we prove three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *For each positive integer n , $\xi^*\text{-lim } na_\xi = \theta$.*

Proof. Suppose $n = 2$. If $x > \theta$ there is a $\xi_1 < \xi^*$ such that $\theta < a_{\xi_1} < x$. There is a $\xi_2 < \xi^*$ such that $\theta < a_{\xi_2} < x - a_{\xi_1}$. If $\max [\xi_1, \xi_2] \leq \xi < \xi^*$, then $\theta < a_\xi + a_\xi < a_{\xi_1} + a_{\xi_2} < x$ and so $\xi^*\text{-lim } 2a_\xi = \theta$. Induction completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. *For each positive integer n and $\eta < \xi^*$ there is a $\xi = \xi(n, \eta) < \xi^*$ such that $nU_\xi = [x_1 + \cdots + x_n | x_i \in U_\xi] \subset U_\eta$.*

Proof. Suppose $n = 2$. There is a $\xi = \xi(2, \eta)$ such that $\theta < a_\xi + a_\xi < a_\eta$ by Lemma 1. If $x_1, x_2 \in U_\xi$, then $|x_1 + x_2| \leq |x_1| + |x_2| < 2a_\xi < a_\eta$. Hence $2U_\xi \subset U_\eta$. Induction completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. $-U_\xi = [-x | x \in U_\xi] = U_\xi$.

Proof. The proof is clear.

THEOREM 3. *The neighborhood system \mathcal{U} has the properties:*

α . $\bigcap_{\xi < \xi^*} U_\xi(x) = x$.

β . $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \xi^*$ implies $U_{\xi_1}(x) \supset U_{\xi_2}(x)$.

(2) $\xi^*(G)$ has property (*) of [1].

γ . $\eta < \xi^*$ implies there is $\xi(\eta)$ such that $\eta < \xi(\eta) < \xi^*$ and if $U_{\xi(\eta)}(y) \cap U_{\xi(\eta)}(x) \neq \emptyset$ then $U_{\xi(\eta)}(y) \subset U_{\eta}(x)$.

δ . $\eta^* < \xi^*$ implies $\bigcap_{\xi < \eta^*} U_{\xi}(x)$ is open⁽³⁾.

Proof. Property α follows from ξ^* -lim $a_{\xi} = \theta$. Property β follows from the decreasing character of the a_{ξ} . For property γ : given $\eta < \xi^*$ there is a $\xi(\eta)$ for which $3U_{\xi(\eta)} \subset U_{\eta}$ by Lemma 2. By property β we may choose $\xi(\eta) > \eta$. Now if $z \in U_{\xi(\eta)}(y) \cap U_{\xi(\eta)}(x)$ and $w \in U_{\xi(\eta)}(y)$, then $z - y, z - x, w - y \in U_{\xi(\eta)}$. Using Lemma 3 we have

$$w - x = (w - y) + (y - z) + (z - x) \in 3U_{\xi(\eta)} \subset U_{\eta}.$$

Hence $w \in U_{\eta}(x)$ and so $U_{\xi(\eta)}(y) \subset U_{\eta}(x)$. For property δ : Suppose $y \in \bigcap_{\xi < \eta^*} U_{\xi}(x)$. We have $y - x \in \bigcap_{\xi < \eta^*} U_{\xi}$ and so $|y - x| < a_{\xi}$ for $\xi < \eta^*$. Hence $b_{\xi} = a_{\xi} - |y - x| > \theta$ and decreases on $\xi < \eta^*$. Because of the minimal character of $\xi^* \in \Lambda$ (Theorem 1), η^* -lim $b_{\xi} \neq \theta$ and there is an $a_{\zeta} < b_{\xi}$ for $\xi < \eta^*$. Now if $|z - y| < a_{\zeta}$, then

$$|z - x| \leq |z - y| + |y - x| < a_{\zeta} + |y - x| < b_{\xi} + |y - x| = a_{\xi}$$

for all $\xi < \eta^*$. Hence $U_{\zeta}(y) \subset \bigcap_{\xi < \eta^*} U_{\xi}(x)$.

THEOREM 4. G is a topological group relative to the neighborhood system \mathcal{U} .

Proof. Consider $x_0, y_0 \in G$ and $U_{\eta}(x_0 + y_0) \in \mathcal{U}$. By Lemma 2 there is a $U_{\xi_{\eta}}$ such that $2U_{\xi_{\eta}} \subset U_{\eta}$. Now if $x \in U_{\xi_{\eta}}(x_0)$, $y \in U_{\xi_{\eta}}(y_0)$, then $(x - x_0) + (y - y_0) \in U_{\xi_{\eta}} + U_{\xi_{\eta}} \subset U_{\eta}$. Hence $x + y \in U_{\eta}(x_0 + y_0)$ and $x + y$ is a continuous function of its two variables.

2. Lower segments and topological completeness. By a lower segment in G is meant a nonempty, proper subset X of G such that if $x \in X$, then all $y < x$ and some $z > x$ are in X . For each $x_0 \in G$ the set $X(x_0) = [x | x < x_0]$ is a lower segment in G . A lower segment is called Dedekindean if $y > \theta$ in G implies there is an $x \in X$ such that $x + y \notin X$. This concept was introduced by R. Baer [1] in finding necessary and sufficient conditions for absolute closure. It is well known that the set of lower segments of a non-archimedean group is not a group. However, the Dedekindean lower segments form a group G_D .

A sequence x_{ξ} in G is called fundamental if for every $\eta < \xi^*$ there are $\xi_{\eta} < \xi^*$ and $y_{\eta} \in G$ such that $\xi_{\eta} \leq \xi < \xi^*$ implies $x_{\xi} \in U_{\eta}(y_{\eta})$. It is known [2] that x_{ξ} is a fundamental sequence if and only if $x_{\xi} \in U_{\eta}(x_{\xi_{\eta}})$ for $\xi_{\eta} \leq \xi < \xi^*$ and all $\eta < \xi^*$. We shall write $\lim x_{\xi} = x$ if for $\eta < \xi^*$ there is ξ_{η} such that $x_{\xi} \in U_{\eta}(x)$ if $\xi_{\eta} \leq \xi < \xi^*$. The group G is called t -complete if every fundamental sequence has a limit in G . It is shown that G_D is t -complete.

THEOREM 5. X is a Dedekindean lower segment if and only if there is a fundamental sequence x_{ξ} cofinal with X such that $x_{\xi} + a_{\xi} \notin X$.

⁽³⁾ Properties α, β, γ are axioms 1, 2, 3 in [1]. The space of [1] satisfies axiom 4 which is stronger than δ . The Hahn groups satisfy α, β, γ and 4 (Theorem 14, below).

Proof. Suppose X is a Dedekindean lower segment. Then for each $\xi < \xi^*$ there is an $x_\xi \in X$ such that $x_\xi + a_\xi \notin X$. Now if $x \in X$ there is in X a $z > x$. There is a $\xi < \xi^*$ such that $\theta < a_\xi < z - x$. Since $z \in X$ and $x_\xi + a_\xi \notin X$, $z < x_\xi + a_\xi < x_\xi + z - x$ and so $x < x_\xi$. Hence the sequence x_ξ is cofinal with X .

We show that x_ξ is a fundamental sequence. If $\eta < \xi < \xi^*$, then $x_\eta - a_\eta < x_\xi$. Otherwise for some $\eta < \xi < \xi^*$, $x_\xi \leq x_\eta - a_\eta$. Since $\eta < \xi$ implies $\theta < a_\xi < a_\eta$, $x_\xi + a_\xi \leq x_\eta - a_\eta + a_\xi < x_\eta \in X$ and so $x_\xi + a_\xi \in X$ which is false. On the other hand, since $x_\xi \in X$ and $x_\eta + a_\eta \notin X$ for all $\xi, \eta < \xi^*$, $x_\xi < x_\eta + a_\eta$. Hence $x_\xi \in U_\eta(x_\eta)$ for $\eta \leq \xi < \xi^*$ and x_ξ is a fundamental sequence.

Now suppose that $x_\xi \in X$ and $x_\xi + a_\xi \notin X$ for $\xi < \xi^*$. Then for $y > \theta$ there is an a_ξ such that $\theta < a_\xi < y$ and so $x_\xi + y \notin X$ since $x_\xi + y > x_\xi + a_\xi \notin X$. Hence X is Dedekindean.

The lower segments $X(x_0)$ are Dedekindean since $x_0 - a_\xi$ is a fundamental sequence cofinal with $X(x_0)$ such that $x_0 = (x_0 - a_\xi) + a_\xi \notin X(x_0)$. If we define $X(x_1 + x_2) = X(x_1) + X(x_2)$ and $X(x_1) > X(x_2)$ if $x_1 > x_2$, it is clear that the set of lower segments $X(x)$, $x \in G$, form an abelian group isomorphic with G . We denote by G_D the set of Dedekindean lower segments. For $X_1, X_2 \in G_D$ we define

$$X_1 + X_2 = [x_1 + x_2 \mid x_i \in X_i, i = 1, 2],$$

$$X_1 > X_2 \text{ if } X_1 \supset X_2 \text{ properly.}$$

LEMMA 4. $X_1, X_2 \in G_D$ implies $X_1 + X_2 \in G_D$.

Proof. Since $X_i \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2$, $X_1 + X_2 \neq 0$. Since $X_i \neq G$ there are $y_i \notin X_i$. Now $y_1 + y_2 \notin X_1 + X_2$. Otherwise $y_1 + y_2 = x_1 + x_2$, $x_i \in X_i$. Then $y_1 + (y_2 - x_2) = x_1 \in X_1$ and, since $y_2 \notin X_2$, $x_2 \in X_2$, we have $y_2 - x_2 > \theta$ so that $y_1 < x_1$, $y_1 \in X_1$ which is false. Thus $X_1 + X_2$ is a proper subset of G . Consider $x = x_1 + x_2 \in X_1 + X_2$, $x_i \in X_i$. If $y < x_1 + x_2$ then $y - x_2 < x_1$, $y - x_2 \in X_1$, and $y = (y - x_2) + x_2 \in X_1 + X_2$. There is a $z_1 \in X_1$ such that $x - x_2 = x_1 < z_1$. Then $x < z_1 + x_2 \in X_1 + X_2$. Hence $X_1 + X_2$ is a lower segment in G . We show that $X_1 + X_2$ is Dedekindean. Since each X_i is Dedekindean there is a sequence $x_{i\xi} \in X_i$ such that $x_{i\xi} + a_\xi \notin X_i$. Then $x_{1\xi} + x_{2\xi} + 2a_\xi \notin X_1 + X_2$. Now for $x > \theta$ there is an $\eta < \xi^*$ such that $2a_\eta < x$. Hence $x_{1\eta} + x_{2\eta} + x > x_{1\eta} + x_{2\eta} + 2a_\eta$ so that $x_{1\eta} + x_{2\eta} \in X_1 + X_2$ while $x_{1\eta} + x_{2\eta} + x \notin X_1 + X_2$. Hence $X_1 + X_2$ is Dedekindean.

LEMMA 5. $X_1 + X_2 = X_2 + X_1$; $X_1 + (X_2 + X_3) = (X_1 + X_2) + X_3$ if $X_i \in G_D$.

Proof. The proof is clear.

LEMMA 6. $X \in G_D$ implies $X(\theta) + X = X$.

Proof. If $x \in X$ and $y \in X(\theta)$, then $x + y < x$ and so $X + X(\theta) \subset X$. If $x \in X$ there is a $z \in X$ such that $x < z$. Then $x - z \in X(\theta)$ and $x = z + (x - z) \in X(\theta) + X$. Hence $X \subset X + X(\theta)$. We shall denote $X(\theta)$ by Θ .

LEMMA 7. If $X \in G_D$, $Y = [y \mid -y \in X]$, $Z = Y - [\sup Y]$ where the set $[\sup Y]$ may be empty, then $Z \in G_D$ and $X + Z = \Theta$.

Proof. Since Y contains more than one element and is not G , $Z \neq 0$, G . Consider $z \in Z$. If $w < z$, then $-z < -w$ and, since $-z \notin X$, $-w \notin X$, $w \in Z$. Further since $z < \sup Y$ or $\sup Y$ does not exist, there is $w \in Z$ such that $z < w$. Hence Z is a lower segment.

We show that Z is Dedekindian. Consider $w > \theta$ and $a_\xi < w$. Since X is Dedekindian there is an $x \in X$ such that $x + a_\xi \notin X$. Now $-(x + a_\xi) \in Y$ and, since $x + a_\xi < x + w$, $-(x + w) < -(x + a_\xi)$. Hence $-(x + w) \neq \sup Y$ and $x + w \notin X$. Therefore $-(x + w) \in Y - [\sup Y] = Z$. Now $-x = -(x + w) + w$ is not in Z . Otherwise $-x \in Z \subset Y$ and $x \notin X$ which is false. Thus for any $w > \theta$ there is $z = -(x + w) \in Z$ such that $z + w = -(x + w) + w = -x \notin Z$ and Z is Dedekindian.

Finally we show that $X + Z = \Theta$. Consider any $u < \theta$ and $a_\xi < -u$. Since X is Dedekindian there is an $x \in X$ such that $x + a_\xi \notin X$. Hence $-x - a_\xi \in Y$ and, since $u - x < -x - a_\xi$, $u - x \in Z$. Thus $u = (u - x) + x \in Z + X$ and $\Theta \subset Z + X$. On the other hand if $x \in X$ and $z \in Z$, then, since $-z \notin X$, $x < -z$. Hence $x + z < \theta$, $x + z \in \Theta$ and $X + Z \subset \Theta$, which completes the proof.

THEOREM 6. *The set G_D of Dedekindian lower segments in G is an ordered abelian extension of G .*

Proof. Lemmas 4, \dots , 7 show that G_D is an ordered abelian group. The set of $X(x)$, $x \in G$, is a subgroup of G_D isomorphic with G .

In discussing the group G_D we shall denote $X(x) \in G_D$ by x , for example, $X + y = X + X(y)$ and $X > X(y)$ may be written $X > y$. The group G_D has the associated minimal ordinal (Theorem 1) $\xi^*(G_D)$.

THEOREM 7. $\xi^*(G_D) = \xi^*(G)$.

Proof. First we show that $\xi^*(G_D) \leq \xi^*(G)$. We note that $X(a_\xi)$, $\xi < \xi^*$, decrease and are positive in G_D . Consider $X > \Theta$. There is an $x \in X$ such that $x > \theta$. There is a $\xi < \xi^*$ such that $\theta < a_\xi < x$. Hence $\Theta < X(a_\xi) < X(x) < X$. Since the $X(a_\xi)$ decrease, $\xi^*\text{-lim } X(a_\xi) = \Theta$ and so $\xi^*(G_D) \leq \xi^*(G)$. Now let $\eta^* = \xi^*(G_D)$. Then there is a decreasing η^* -sequence X_η in G_D such that $\eta^*\text{-lim } X_\eta = \Theta$. Since the X_η are positive lower segments in G there is, for each $\eta < \eta^*$, an $x_\eta \in X_\eta$ such that $\theta < x_\eta$. For any $a_\xi \in G$, $X(a_\xi) > \Theta$ and there is an $\eta_\xi < \eta^*$ such that if $\eta_\xi \leq \eta < \eta^*$, then $\Theta < X_\eta < X(a_\xi)$. Now $x_\eta \in X_\eta \subset X(a_\xi)$ and so $\theta < x_\eta < a_\xi$ for $\eta_\xi \leq \eta < \eta^*$. Hence $\eta^*\text{-lim } x_\eta = \theta$. By transfinite induction and Theorem 2 a decreasing ξ^* -subsequence $\xi^* \leq \eta^*$ can be chosen from x_η . It follows that $\xi^*(G) \leq \xi^* \leq \xi^*(G_D)$ and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY. *The system $\mathcal{U}_D = [U_\xi(X)]$ where*

$$U_\xi(X) = [Y \mid |Y - X| < a_\xi], \quad \xi < \xi^*,$$

is a neighborhood system for G_D having the properties of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 8. G_D is t -complete.

Proof. Let X_ξ be a fundamental sequence in G_D . Consider $Y_\eta = \bigcup_{\eta \leq \xi < \xi^*} X_\xi$, $Y = \bigcap_{\eta < \xi^*} Y_\eta$, $X = Y - [\sup Y]$. Since X_ξ is a fundamental sequence, for each $\eta < \xi^*$ there is a $\xi_\eta > \eta$ such that $X_{\xi_\eta} - a_\eta < X_\xi < X_{\xi_\eta} + a_\eta$ if $\xi_\eta \leq \xi < \xi^*$. Hence

$$(1) \quad Y = \bigcap_{\zeta < \xi^*} Y_\zeta \cap Y_{\xi_\eta} = \bigcup_{\xi_\eta \leq \xi < \xi^*} X_\xi \subset X_{\xi_\eta} + a_\eta, \quad \eta < \xi^*,$$

and so $X \subset Y \subset X_{\xi_\eta} + a_\eta \neq G$. For every η , $\zeta < \xi^*$, $Y_\zeta \supset X_\xi \supset X_{\xi_\eta} - a_\eta$ if $\xi > \max [\zeta, \xi_\eta]$. Hence

$$(2) \quad Y = \bigcap_{\zeta < \xi^*} Y_\zeta \supset X_{\xi_\eta} - a_\eta, \quad \eta < \xi^*,$$

and so $X = Y - [\sup Y] \neq 0$.

If $x \in X$, then $x \in Y_\eta$, $\eta < \xi^*$, and $x \in X_{\xi_{x,\eta}}$ for some $\xi_{x,\eta} \geq \eta$. Now $y < x$ and $X_{\xi_{x,\eta}} \in G_D$ imply $y \in X_{\xi_{x,\eta}} \subset Y_\eta$, $\eta < \xi^*$. Hence $y \in Y$. But $y < x \in Y$ and so $y \neq \sup Y$ and $y \in X$. Since $X = Y - [\sup Y]$ and G is not discrete, $x \in X$ implies there is a $w \in X$ such that $x < w$. Thus X is a lower segment in G .

We show that $X \in G_D$. Consider $x > \theta$ and $\zeta_0 < \xi^*$ such that $3a_{\zeta_0} < x$ for $\zeta_0 \leq \zeta < \xi^*$. Now from (1), (2) we have

$$(3) \quad X_{\xi_\zeta} - a_\zeta \subset Y \subset X_{\xi_\zeta} + a_\zeta, \quad \zeta_0 \leq \zeta < \xi^*.$$

Since $X_{\xi_{\zeta_0}} - a_{\zeta_0} \in G_D$ there is $y \in X_{\xi_{\zeta_0}} - a_{\zeta_0}$ such that $y + a_{\zeta_0} \notin X_{\xi_{\zeta_0}} - a_{\zeta_0}$. Then $y + x > y + 3a_{\zeta_0} \notin X_{\xi_{\zeta_0}} + a_{\zeta_0}$. Hence $y + x \notin X \subset Y \subset X_{\xi_{\zeta_0}} + a_{\zeta_0}$. Since $X_{\xi_{\zeta_0}} - a_{\zeta_0}$ has no maximum and is contained in Y , $y \neq \sup Y$. Thus $y \in X_{\xi_{\zeta_0}} - a_{\zeta_0} \subset Y - [\sup Y] = X$ and $y + x \notin X$ and X is a Dedekindean lower segment.

We show that $\lim X_\xi = X$. Since $X = Y - [\sup Y]$ and $a_\zeta > \theta$ we have from (3)

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\xi_\zeta} - 2a_\zeta &< X < X_{\xi_\zeta} + 2a_\zeta, \\ |X - X_{\xi_\zeta}| &< 2a_\zeta, \end{aligned} \quad \zeta_0 \leq \zeta < \xi^*.$$

For $\eta < \xi^*$, $2a_\zeta < a_\eta$ for $\zeta_\eta \leq \zeta < \xi^*$ by Lemma 1. Hence $|X_{\xi_\zeta} - X| < a_\eta$ for $\max [\zeta_0, \zeta_\eta] \leq \zeta < \xi^*$ and so $\lim X_{\xi_\zeta} = X$. Since X_{ξ_ζ} is a subsequence of the fundamental sequence X_ξ , $\lim X_\xi = X$.

The facts that the group G_D consists of the Dedekindean lower segments in G and that the set of all lower segments in G do not in general form a group [3] together with the appearance of fundamental sequences in Theorem 5 and the proof of Theorem 8 cast light on the roles played by the Dedekind cut and the Cantor fundamental sequence in completeness theory. There is an equivalence between the Dedekindean lower segments and the classes of equivalent fundamental sequences. Two fundamental sequences x_ξ , y_ξ in G are equivalent if $\lim x_\xi - y_\xi = \theta$. It is clear that this definition separates the set of all fundamental sequences in G into disjoint equivalence classes. These classes form an ordered abelian group G_C isomorphic with G_D . The proof of

this, which follows classical lines, can be supplied by the reader.

3. Completeness in the archimedean sense. Completeness in the sense of Archimedes is a concept introduced by Hilbert [4] in the foundations of geometry. Two elements $x, y > \theta$ in an ordered abelian group G are called relatively archimedean if there are positive integers n, m such that $nx \geq y$ and $my \geq x$ in G . If every pair $x, y > \theta$ in G are relatively archimedean, then G is an archimedean group. An extension H of G is called an archimedean extension if for every $y \in H, y > \theta_H$, there is an $x \in G$ such that x, y are relatively archimedean in H . A group G is called a -complete if G has no proper archimedean extension. We indicate the relation between t - and a -completeness.

THEOREM 9. *If G is a -complete, then G is t -complete.*

Proof. Suppose G is not t -complete. Then G_D , being a t -complete extension (Theorem 8), is a proper extension of G . We show that G_D is an archimedean extension of G . Consider $X > \theta$ in G_D . There is a fundamental sequence x_ξ cofinal with X such that $x_\xi + a_\xi \in X$ (Theorem 5). There are $x > \theta$ in G and $\eta < \xi^*$ such that for $\eta < \xi < \xi^*, x < x_\xi$. There is a $\zeta > \eta$ such that for $\zeta \leq \xi < \xi^*, |x_\xi - x_\zeta| < x$. Now $x_\zeta \in X$ and $x_\zeta + x_\zeta > x_\zeta + x > x_\xi$ if $\zeta \leq \xi < \xi^*$. Hence $x_\zeta + x_\zeta \geq X$ and so G_D is an archimedean as well as a proper extension of G . This contradicts the hypothesis and the theorem follows.

The converse of this theorem is false as the following example shows. Let G be the set $[g]$ of integer valued functions $g(n)$ on the set of positive integers and let H be the set $[h]$ of real functions $h(n)$ on the same domain. If f_1, f_2 are functions in either set we define $f_1 < f_2$ if $f_1(m) < f_2(m)$ where m is the first n for which at least one of the functions does not vanish. With the usual definition of addition and the null function, G is an ordered abelian group and H is a proper extension of G . We define the functions $a_m = a_m(n) = 1, 0$ according as whether $n = m, \neq m$. In both G and H , $\omega\text{-lim } a_m = \theta$. If we denote by f an element either of G or of H , the neighborhoods $U_k(f) = [f' \mid |f' - f| < a_k]$ are equivalent to the sets $V_k(f) = [f' \mid f'(n) = f(n), n \leq k]$. G is t -complete. For g_ν is a fundamental sequence in G if and only if for each k there is a $\nu(k)$ such that, for $\nu \geq \nu(k)$ and $n \leq k, g_\nu(n) = g_{\nu(k)}(n)$. The function $g = g_{\nu(n)}(n)$ is the limit of g_ν . H is an archimedean extension of G . For consider $h = h(n) > \theta$. There is a first $n = m$ for which $h(n) \neq 0$. Then $h(m) > 0$ since $h > \theta$. The function $a_m \in G$ is such that, for some integer $k, kh(m) > 1 = a_m(m)$ and $ka_m(m) > h(m)$. Therefore the t -complete group G , having H as a proper archimedean extension, is not a -complete.

A partial converse of the previous theorem is:

THEOREM 10. *If G is t -complete and is dense in every archimedean extension, then G is a -complete.*

Proof. Let H be an archimedean extension of G . The sequence a_ξ which decreases to θ in G decreases to θ in H . Otherwise there is $y > \theta$ in H such that

there is no $x \in G$ between θ and y and G is not dense in H . Now for $z \in H$ and $\xi < \xi^*$ there is an $x_\xi \in G$ such that $z - a_\xi < x_\xi < z + a_\xi$ since G is dense in H . From the decreasing character of the a_ξ with the limit θ in G it follows that x_ξ is a fundamental sequence. Since G is t -complete, $\lim x_\xi = z \in G$. Hence $H = G$ and G is a -complete.

4. Order completion. The set \bar{G} of all lower segments in G is not a group in general. We note that this failure is due to the existence of non-Dedekindean lower segments since such segments have no inverses. If G is a non-archimedean group there are non-Dedekindean lower segments in \bar{G} . For: There are $x, y \in G$ such that $\theta < nx < y$ for all positive integers n . The lower segment $X = [z | z < n_x x]$ is non-Dedekindean.

THEOREM 11. *If $\xi^* = \xi^*(G) > \omega$, then G is non-archimedean.*

Proof. There is a $\xi_1 < \xi^*$ such that $2a_{\xi_1} < a_1$ (Lemma 1) and for ξ_n there is $\xi_{n+1} < \xi^*$ such that $2a_{\xi_{n+1}} < a_{\xi_n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Now by Theorem 2, since $\xi^* > \omega$, there is a ζ such that $\sup [\xi_n] < \zeta < \xi^*$. Since the a_ξ decrease, $a_\zeta < a_{\xi_n}$ for all n . Hence $na_\zeta < 2^n a_\zeta < 2^n a_{\xi_n} < a_1$ for all n . Hence a_ζ, a_1 are not relatively archimedean and the theorem is proved.

THEOREM 12. *$X \in \bar{G}$ is non-Dedekindean if and only if there is a $y \neq \theta$ in G such that $X + y = X$.*

Proof. Suppose $X + y = X$ for $y \neq \theta$, then $X = X - y$ and either all of X , $X \pm y$ are Dedekindean or none is. Since $x \in X$ if and only if $x \pm y \in X \pm y$ the result follows from the definition of the Dedekindean property of lower segments.

THEOREM 13. *If X is non-Dedekindean there is no $Y \in \bar{G}$ such that $X + Y = \Theta$.*

Proof. Since X is non-Dedekindean, there is a $y \neq \theta$ such that $X + y = X$. Now we have the contradiction $X(y) \neq \Theta$ and if $X + Y = \Theta$,

$$\Theta = X + Y = (X + X(y)) + Y = (X + Y) + X(y) = X(y).$$

5. Hahn groups. The group H given in the example of §3 is a special Hahn group [5]. In general a Hahn group H is the group of all real functions $x(\alpha)$ defined on an ordered set $A = [\alpha]$ such that the set $L(x) = [\alpha | x(\alpha) \neq 0] \subset A$ is well-ordered in A . Order in H is defined thus: $x > 0$ if $x(\alpha_0) > 0$ where α_0 is the first element in $L(x)$ and $x_1 > x_2$ if $x_1 - x_2 > \theta$. The Hahn groups are ordered abelian groups. Hence for each such group H there is a minimal ordinal $\xi^* = \xi^*(H)$ and a decreasing sequence $x_\xi \in H$ such that $\xi^* - \lim x_\xi = \theta$. Let us consider the initial elements $\alpha_\xi = L(x_\xi)$. Since the x_ξ decrease the α_ξ do not decrease. If the set $[\alpha_\xi | \xi < \xi^*]$ has a maximum α_0 , then $a_n = (1/n)x_{\alpha_0}$ is cofinal with x_ξ in H and $\xi^* = \omega$. If the set $[\alpha_\xi | \xi < \xi^*]$ has no maximum there is an increasing subsequence α_{ξ_η} , $\eta < \xi^*$, cofinal with α_ξ in A and the elements $a_\eta \in H$ such that

$$a_\eta(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha \neq \alpha_{\xi_\eta}, \\ 1, & \alpha = \alpha_{\xi_\eta}, \end{cases}$$

form a sequence decreasing to θ in H . For: To each α_ξ there is an $\alpha_{\xi_\eta} > \alpha_\xi$ and so $a_\eta < x_\xi$ while, since α_{ξ_η} increases in A , a_η decreases in H . The neighborhoods $U_\xi(x)$ are defined in H by:

$$\begin{aligned} U_\xi &= U_\xi(\theta) = [x \mid |x| < a_\xi], \\ U_\xi(x) &= x + U_\xi, \end{aligned} \quad \xi < \xi^*.$$

An equivalent set of neighborhoods $V_\alpha(x)$ are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha &= [\beta \mid \alpha \leq \beta] \subset A, & \alpha \in A, \\ V_\alpha &= [x \mid L(x) \subset T_\alpha], \\ V_\alpha(x) &= x + V_\alpha = [x + y \mid y \in V_\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the groups H are groups G , the neighborhoods have the properties of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 14. *If $\eta^* < \xi^*(H)$ and $U_{\xi_\eta}(x_\eta)$ decrease on $\eta < \eta^*$, then $\bigcap_{\eta < \eta^*} U_{\xi_\eta}(x_\eta)$ is a nonempty open set.*

Since $\eta_1 < \eta_2 < \eta^*$ implies $U_{\xi_{\eta_1}}(x_{\eta_1}) \supset U_{\xi_{\eta_2}}(x_{\eta_2})$, $x_{\eta_2} - x_{\eta_1} \in U_{\xi_{\eta_1}}$ and $x_{\eta_1}(\alpha) = x_{\eta_2}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha < \alpha_{\xi_{\eta_1}}$. Hence there is $x \in H$ such that $x(\alpha) = x_{\xi_\eta}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha < \alpha_{\xi_\eta}$, $\eta < \eta^*$. Clearly $x \in \bigcap_{\eta < \eta^*} U_{\xi_\eta}(x_\eta)$ and the intersection is not empty. Finally if $x \in \bigcap_{\eta < \eta^*} U_{\xi_\eta}(x_\eta)$, then there is $U_{\xi_\eta}(x) \subset U_{\xi_\eta}(x_\eta)$ for $\eta < \eta^*$. Since $\sup \{\xi_\eta \mid \eta < \eta^*\} = \xi < \xi^*$ (Theorem 2), $U_\xi(x) \subset \bigcap_{\eta < \eta^*} U_{\xi_\eta}(x) \subset \bigcap_{\eta < \eta^*} U_{\xi_\eta}(x_\eta)$ and the intersection is open.

This theorem shows that the Hahn groups satisfy axiom 4 of [1] and so all the axioms given there, since α, β, γ of Theorem 3 are 1, 2, 3 of [1]. Certain properties of Hahn groups are immediate.

COROLLARY 1. *A Hahn group is t -complete.*

Proof. Hahn showed in [5] that groups H are a -complete. It follows from Theorem 9 that they are t -complete.

COROLLARY 2. *Hahn groups are of the second ξ^* -category.*

Proof. The Hahn groups satisfy axioms 1, 2, 3, 4 of [1] and it is shown there that t -complete (called ξ^* -complete) spaces are of the second ξ^* -category.

THEOREM 15. *A lower segment X of a Hahn group H has a least upper bound if and only if it is Dedekindean⁽⁴⁾.*

⁽⁴⁾ A different proof of this result was communicated to us by D. Zelinsky.

Proof. If $\sup X = x_0 \in H$, then $x_0 - a_\xi$ is a fundamental sequence cofinal with X such that $(x_0 - a_\xi) + a_\xi = x_0 \notin X$. By Theorem 5, X is Dedekindean. Now suppose X is Dedekindean. Then by Theorem 5 there is a fundamental sequence x_ξ cofinal with X such that $x_\xi + a_\xi \notin X$. Since H is t -complete, $\lim x_\xi = x_0 \in H$ and for $\eta < \xi^*$ there is a $\xi_\eta > \eta$ such that for $\xi_\eta \leq \xi < \xi^*$, $x_0 - a_\eta < x_\xi < x_0 + a_\eta$. Now $x_0 \notin X$. Otherwise there is in X a $y > x_0$. There is, by Lemma 1, an $\eta < \xi^*$ such that $2a_\eta < y - x_0$. Then for $\xi_\eta \leq \xi < \xi^*$, $x_\xi + a_\xi < x_0 + a_\eta + a_\xi < x_0 + 2a_\eta < y \in X$ and $x_\xi + a_\xi \in X$, which is false. Therefore, $x \in X$ implies $x < x_0$ which with $x_0 - a_\eta < x_\xi \in X$ for all η and some ξ less than ξ^* yields $x_0 = \sup X$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. Baer, *Zur Topologie der Gruppen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 160 (1929) pp. 208–226.
2. L. W. Cohen and C. Goffman, *A theory of transfinite convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 66 (1949) pp. 65–74.
3. C. J. Everett, and S. Ulam, *On ordered groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 57 (1945) pp. 208–216.
4. D. Hilbert, *Grundlagen der Geometrie*, Leipzig, 1903.
5. H. Hahn *Über die nichtarchimedischen Grössensysteme*, Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Vienna, Section IIa, vol. 116 (1907) pp. 601–653.

QUEENS COLLEGE,
FLUSHING, N. Y.
UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA,
NORMAN, OKLA.